UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/624,471	07/23/2003	Laura Hadden	71493-1165 /aba	7439
7380 SMART & BIO	7590 08/22/200 GGAR	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2999 900-55 METC		LI, SHI K		
OTTAWA, ON	· · · ·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA		2613		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/624,471	HADDEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shi K. Li	2613		

	Om te Ei	2010
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 12 August 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lates Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-30 and 34-36</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ll and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
_		
	/Shi K. Li/	11 100 110
	Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2613

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant argues "the Examiner is taking the position that the cited device types (i.e. passive fiber, active optical amplifier and optical cross-connect) are base variables themselves which is simply not true. Consider, for example, the base variables cited in the present application, namely (i) fiber type, (ii) length of segment, (iii) length of fiber span, (iv) number of wavelengths, and (v) power level. By contrast, passive fiber and active optical amplifiers are types of devices. The construction of 'device type' as a base variable is improper, but Levandovsky does not teach anything to that effect." The Examiner disagrees.

First, USPTO personnel are to give claims their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the supporting disclosure. In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 1054-55, 44 USPQ2d 1023, 1027-28 (Fed. Cir. 1997). Limitations appearing in the specification but not recited in the claim should not be read into the claim. E-Pass Techs., Inc. v. 3Com Corp., 343 F.3d 1364, 1369, 67 USPQ2d 1947, 1950 (Fed. Cir. 2003). Variable is a general term and is not limited to the (i) fiber type, (ii) length of segment, (iii) length of fiber span, (iv) number of wavelengths, and (v) power level.

Second, as admitted by instant specification and Applicant that fiber type, length of segment and length of fiber span are base variables, then passive fiber is a base variable. In fact, Levandovsky further teaches in col. 25, lines 19-22 that the noise introduced in the fiber and amplifier depends on the optical power per wavelength, number of wavelengths simultaneously present, fiber dispersion parameter. That is, Levandovsky does teach the same thing as instant specification to that effect..